# Whitman's Procedures: Comparing English & Arabic

## Gassim H. Dohal, Ph. D.

English Teacher Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

#### **Abstract**

Using Randal Whitman's four procedures for contrastive analysis, one can make obvious predictions about difficulties Arabs, who learn English, will encounter. In this short paper, I will focus on the domain of consonants.

At the beginning, it is necessary to draw two "charts"; one for English and the other for Arabic.

## **English Consonants:**

		Bi-labial	Labio-dental	Dental	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Glottal
Stops	vls	p			t		k	
	vd	b			d		g	
Fricatives	vls		f	Θ	S	ſ		h
	vd		v	ð	Z	3		
Affricates	vls					t∫		
	vd					dз		
Nasals		m			n		ŋ	
Laterals	•				1			
Glides		W			r	у		

### Arabic Consonants:

		Bi-labial	Labio-dental	Dental	Dental velarized	Alveolar velarized	Alveolar	Palatal	Velar	Uvelar	Phary- ngeal	Laryngeal (Glottal)
Stops	vls	<b>1</b>		t ت d د		ţЪ			K এ	ق(q)		3 6
Fricatives	vd vls	b ب	ف f	د هث ه		ض <u>إ</u> ص ş	C . 111	<u>ش</u> ر	ق(g) خ X		h =	h ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
Filcatives	vis		1 -	0 20 2	ظع	عص ڊ	س s ز z	J W	غ γ		ح با ع ؟	11 -2
Affricates	vls				•				, C			
	vd							ج d3				
Nasals		m م		ن n								
Laterals				ل 1								
Glides		w e						ي y				
Tap				r )								

By superimposing one "chart" onto the other, we get the process of contrasting the two systems. The following statements will suffice for a general contrast which may be helpful for a native-Arabic speaker learning English:

- --English has the voiceless bi-labial stop /p/, the voiced labio-dental fricative /v/, the voiced palatal fricative /ʒ/, the voiceless palatal affricate /tʃ/ $^1$ , and the velar nasal /  $\eta$ /, but in Arabic we have no equivalents.
- -- English /t d n l r/ are alveolar; the corresponding consonants in Arabic are dental.
- --From an articulatory viewpoint, English /r/ and Arabic /r/ are of quite different nature; /r/ in English is "glide" Where as in Arabic it is "tap".

Next, the "prediction" procedure can be accomplished by subjecting the above contrastive description to a hierarchy of difficulty as follows:

0-LEVEL: A native-Arabic speaker, learning English, can transfer positively certain phonemes /b k s z ∫ f θ ð dʒ m w y/ from Arabic to English. Besides, s/he can transfer phonemically /t d n l r/. Speakers of certain Arabic dialects can transfer /g/ and /tʃ/.

1-LEVEL: No apparent instance of "coalescence".

2-LEVEL: Many instances of absence; Arabic /ṭ ḍ ẓ ṣ q ɣ X ς̄ ḥ ʔ/ don't exist in English.

3-LEVEL: English consonants /t d n l/ are alveolar, and the Arabic speaker will have to reshape these consonants to be like his/her native language-dental.

4-LEVEL: English consonants /p g v 3 t $\int \eta^2$  are new to the Arabic speaker.

5-LEVEL: No apparent instance of a "split".

## References:

Al-Hamlawi, Ahmed (1965). Shatha Al-arf fi Fan As-sarf (in Arabic). 16th Ed. Publisher: Unknown.

Al-Khuli, M. A. (1981). *Teaching English to Arab Students*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Publisher: Unknown.

Brown, H. Douglas (2000). **Principles of Langauge Learning and Teaching**. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. NY: Pearson Education ESL.

O'Connor, J. D. (2012). Better English Pronunciation. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Cambridge: Cambridge UP.

Stageberg, Norman C. & Dallin D. Oaks (2000). **An Introductory English Grammar**. 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. Boston: Wadsworth.

<sup>2</sup> English /g 3 tʃ/ are found in some dialects of Arabic.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>/tʃ/ is a phoneme in English, but it is an allophone of /k/ in some dialects of Arabic; it does not exist in standard Arabic.